

## DISPERSION LAW OF EDGE WAVES IN THE QUANTUM HALL EFFECT

S. Giovanazzi, L. Pitaevskii\*, and S. Stringari

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trento, I-38050 Povo, Italy*

**Abstract.** *We present a microscopic description of edge excitations in the quantum Hall effect which is analogous to Feynman's theory of superfluids. Analytic expressions for the excitation energies are derived in finite dots. Our predictions are in excellent agreement with the results of a recent numerical diagonalization. In the large  $N$  limit the dispersion law is proportional to  $q \log \frac{1}{q}$ . For short range interactions the energy instead behaves as  $q^3$ . The same results are also derived using hydrodynamic theory of incompressible liquids.*

PACS Numbers: 73.40 Hm

\* Permanent address: Kapitza Institute for Physical Problems, ul. Kosygina 2, 117334 Moscow, Russia.

In the last few years a considerable interest has been devoted to the study of the edge excitations of 2D charged systems (quantum dots) in strong magnetic fields [1-5]. These studies are motivated by the fact that edge excitations, differently from bulk modes, are gapless and are consequently particularly relevant for the thermodynamic behavior at low temperature. The macroscopic picture underlying many of the available theoretical works is the hydrodynamic description of an incompressible 2D liquid characterized by the propagation of edge waves with drift velocity  $v = c \frac{E}{B}$  where  $E$  is the electric field generated by the electrons at the edge of the droplet (see, for example, ref.[4]). According to this picture the dispersion should be

$$\omega = \frac{M}{R} c \frac{E}{B} \quad (1)$$

where  $M$  is the angular momentum carried by the wave and  $R \propto \sqrt{N}$  is the radius of the dot ( $N$  is the number of electrons). The above picture presents however a serious difficulty since the electric field generated by 2D charged clusters exhibits a logarithmic enhancement at the border. Moreover considerable theoretical effort has been recently devoted to models where electrons interact through effective short range forces [5] and where consequently the concept of electric field cannot be used.

The purpose of this work is to give an answer to this problem and to provide an explicit formula for the dispersion law employing either a microscopic approach based on Feynman's theory and a macroscopic description based on classical hydrodynamics. We will also discuss the crucial role played by the neutralizing background in ensuring the stability of the system.

In the following we will consider the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_k \frac{1}{2m} (\mathbf{p}_k + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_k))^2 + e^2 \sum_{k < p} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}_p|} - e^2 \sum_k \int \rho_{ion}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}|} d\mathbf{r} \quad (2)$$

where the vector potential has the form  $A_x = \frac{1}{2}yB$ ,  $A_y = -\frac{1}{2}xB$ , the second term is the e-e Coulomb interaction, while the last term accounts for the Coulomb interaction with the neutralizing background for which we make the simple choice  $\rho_{ion}(r) = \rho_0\Theta(r - R)$ . Due to charge neutrality the electron density in the interior of the dot coincides with the ion density  $\rho_0$ . The radius  $R$  is then fixed by the normalization condition  $\rho_0\pi R^2 = N$ .

We will focus on the case of integer filling ( $\nu = 1$ ) where it is possible to derive important results in an analytic way. This fixes a relationship between the density  $\rho_0$  and the magnetic field. We also assume that the magnetic field is strong enough that mixing of states in higher Landau levels by the Coulomb interaction can be neglected. An important consequence of the integer filling is that the ground state of the Hamiltonian (2) is a Slater determinant [6] built up with the single particle states

$$\psi_l(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\ell^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{l!}} \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}\ell}\right)^l e^{-r^2/4\ell^2} \quad (3)$$

where  $l = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$  and  $\ell = (\hbar c/eB)^{1/2}$  is the magnetic length. This Slater determinant carries angular momentum  $L_0 = N(N - 1)/2$  and is the state with the lowest energy because of the presence of the confining potential. In the absence of such a field the electrons would in fact occupy states with higher values of  $l$  in order to minimize the Coulomb repulsion.

The electron density corresponding to the ground state is characterized, for large  $N$ , by a constant value  $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi\ell^2}$  in the interior of a circle of radius  $R = \ell\sqrt{2N}$  and by an edge thickness of the order of the magnetic length  $\ell$ . The electric field generated by the electrons can be easily calculated for large  $N$ . At the border of the cluster we find the result  $E(R) = \frac{e}{2\pi\ell^2} \log(\beta N)$  with  $\beta = 16.4$ . This equation explicitly shows the anticipated logarithmic enhancement.

Our approach to the study of the dispersion of the edge excitations is based on the idea, currently considered in the literature [5-7], that the lowest edge state  $|M\rangle$  carrying angular momentum  $L = L_0 + M$  is naturally excited by the collective operator

$$S_M^\dagger = 2^{-M/2} \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \frac{z_k}{2\ell} - 2\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k^*} \right)^M = \sum_i \sqrt{\frac{(i+M)!}{i!}} c_{i+M}^\dagger c_i \quad (4)$$

where  $c_i$  and  $c_i^\dagger$  are the electron annihilation and creation operators relative to the single particle states (3). The operator (4) corresponds to the projection of the usual multipole operator onto the lowest Landau level. It is the edge analog of the projected density operator used in ref.[8] to study bulk excitations in the fractional quantum Hall effect.

The key point of the work consists of the ansatz  $|M\rangle = S_M^\dagger |0\rangle$ . This ansatz has the form of the approximation employed by Feynman to describe the density excitations of superfluid  $^4\text{He}$ . The same method has been successfully applied to study bulk excitations in the fractional quantum Hall effect [8].

For large  $N$  the transition density  $\rho_{tr}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle M | \sum_k \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_k) | 0 \rangle$  associated with the state  $|M\rangle$  takes the typical form  $\rho_{tr}(\mathbf{r}) \propto \rho'(r) \exp(-i\theta M)$  of an edge wave with wave vector  $q = M/R$ .

The excitation energy of the "Feynman" state can be written in the following form:

$$\epsilon(M) = \frac{\langle M | H | M \rangle}{\langle M | M \rangle} - \langle 0 | H | 0 \rangle = \frac{\langle 0 | [S_M, [H, S_M^\dagger]] | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | [S_M, S_M^\dagger] | 0 \rangle} \quad (5)$$

where we have used the fact that the operator  $S_M$  annihilates the ground state. For a fixed value of  $M$  this bound is expected to coincide with the exact dispersion law in the large  $N$  limit.

In the following we calculate the numerator of eq.(5) using the Hamiltonian (2). The kinetic term does not contribute to the the commutator since  $S_M^\dagger$  does not excite higher Landau levels. In order to calculate the double commutator we find it convenient to use the Tamm-Dancoff relation (see, for example, ref.[9])

$$\langle 0 | [S_M, [H, S_M^\dagger]] | 0 \rangle = \sum_{minj} S_{mi}^* A_{minj} S_{nj} \quad (6)$$

where  $A_{minj} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{mn}(\epsilon_m - \epsilon_i) + V_{mj\,in}^{e-e}$  and  $\epsilon_m = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} V_{mj\,mj}^{e-e} + V_{mm}^{ext}$  are the single particle Hartree-Fock energies written in terms of the usual two-body and one-body matrix elements  $V_{mj\,in}^{e-e}$  and  $V_{mm}^{ext}$ . The quantity  $S_{mi} \simeq \delta_{M,m-i}(N^M)^{1/2}$  is the matrix element of the operator  $S_M$  between the ground state and the particle-hole state  $c_m^\dagger c_i | 0 \rangle$ . It is worth noticing that result (6) is an exact one since the ground state is a Slater determinant.

Let us first calculate the contribution to the double commutator (6) arising from the e-e interaction. By using non trivial relationships involving matrix elements of the e-e interaction, we find for  $N \gg M$  the most important result

$$\langle 0 | [S_M, [V^{e-e}, S_M^\dagger]] | 0 \rangle = M^2 [F_N(M) - F_N(1)] \quad (7)$$

whith the quantity  $F_N(M)$  defined by

$$F_N(M) = e^2 \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \psi_{N+M}^*(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{N-M}^*(\mathbf{r}_2) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} \psi_N(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_N(\mathbf{r}_2). \quad (8)$$

Due to the  $1/r$  behavior of the Coulomb force the function  $F_N(M)$  exhibits a  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \log N$  dependence. However the  $\log N$  term vanishes in the difference (7) which then behaves as  $N^{-1/2}$ :

$$F_N(M) - F_N(1) = -\frac{2e^2}{\ell\pi\sqrt{2N}} \sum_{l=2}^M \frac{1}{2l-1} \quad (9)$$

The contribution of the confining potential can be also calculated in the large  $N$  limit. With our choice for  $\rho_{ion}$  it is possible to relate this contribution to the electric field generated by the electrons and we find

$$\langle 0 | [S_M, [V^{ext}, S_M^\dagger]] | 0 \rangle = N^M M^2 \frac{e^2}{\ell 2\pi \sqrt{2N}} \log(\beta N) \quad (10)$$

Equations (7-10), together with the result  $\langle 0 | [S_M, S_M^\dagger] | 0 \rangle = MN^M$ , allow us to calculate the excitation energy of the edge modes through the Feynman's relation (5). The energy can be written as the sum of the two contributions:

$$\epsilon^{e-e} = -\frac{2Me^2}{\pi\ell\sqrt{2N}} \sum_{l=2}^M \frac{1}{2l-1}; \quad \epsilon^{ext} = \frac{Me^2}{2\pi\ell\sqrt{2N}} \log(\beta N). \quad (11)$$

The quantity  $\epsilon^{e-e}$  is always negative and vanishes in the  $M = 1$  mode as a consequence of the translational invariance of the e-e interaction. For  $M = 2$  it coincides with the result recently found in ref.[6]. Notice that the sum of the two terms (11) must be positive in order to ensure the stability of the ground state.

An important property revealed by eq.(11) is that the e-e term does not depends linearly on  $M$  in contradiction with the eq.(1). Actually for large  $M$  we find  $\epsilon_N^{e-e}(M) = -\frac{Me^2}{\pi\ell\sqrt{2N}} \log(\gamma M)$  with  $\gamma = 0.96$ . This logarithmic behavior is the consequence of the Coulomb interaction as revealed by eqs.(7-9). Use of the short range force  $a\nabla^2\delta(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)$  yields a different dependence:

$$\epsilon^{sr} = \frac{a}{4\pi\sqrt{\pi}\ell^4} N^{-3/2} M(1 - M^2) \quad (12)$$

Our predictions for  $\epsilon^{e-e}$  and  $\epsilon^{sr}$  turn out to be in excellent agreement with the results obtained in ref.[5] through a numerical diagonalization of the e-e interaction (see figure 1). In fig.2 one clearly sees the convergency of the results of ref.[5] to the asymptotic expressions (11) and (12) holding for  $N \gg M$ .

For large  $M$  it is natural to introduce the parametrization  $M = qR = q\ell\sqrt{2N}$  and the dispersion law, given by the sum of the two contributions (11) takes the form

$$\epsilon(q) = \epsilon^{e-e} + \epsilon^{ext} = \frac{e^2}{\pi} q \log \frac{q_0}{q} \quad (13)$$

with  $q_0 = \sqrt{\beta}/\sqrt{2}\ell\gamma = 3.0/\ell$ . Note that in the sum (13) the  $\log N$  terms arising from  $\epsilon^{e-e}$  and  $\epsilon^{sr}$  cancel each other.

For the short range force the dispersion law instead becomes

$$\epsilon^{sr}(q) = -\frac{a}{\ell\pi\sqrt{2\pi}} q^3. \quad (14)$$

The applicability of both results (13) and (14) is fixed by the long wavelength condition  $q\ell \ll 1$ .

In the last part of the work we show that results (13-14) can be directly obtained using classical hydrodynamic theory. We treat our system as a charged incompressible liquid ( $\text{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$ ) characterized by a velocity potential of the form  $\chi = \chi_0 e^{iqy - qx - i\omega t}$  where  $x$  and  $y$  are the directions orthogonal and parallel to the border respectively (the liquid occupies the plane  $x \geq 0$ ). This implies  $v_y = -iv_x$  and the Euler equation  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = -\frac{e}{mc} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{m\rho_0} \nabla p'$  takes the form

$$p' = -im\rho_0(\omega + \omega_c) \frac{v_x}{q}. \quad (15)$$

In eq.(15)  $\omega_c = eB/mc$  is the cyclotron frequency and  $p'$  is the oscillating part of the 2D pressure. The excess of pressure  $p'$  is compensated at the border by a restoring force produced by the electric field. This force can be calculated by varying the energy of the electric field  $U = 1/8\pi \int E^2 dV$  with respect to the displacement  $\xi(y)$  of the border of the liquid. Thus the boundary condition takes the form  $p'_{x=0} = -\delta U/\delta \xi$ . The calculation of  $U$  is drastically simplified if one notices that the main contribution to the integral originates from the region  $q_0^{-1} < r < q^{-1}$

where  $r$  is the distance from the border and  $q_0^{-1}$  is a cutoff length of the order of the width of the border. In this region the deformed edge can be considered as a wire of charge density  $e\xi(y)\rho_0$  generating the electric field  $E = 2e\xi(y)\rho_0/r$ . Integration of the electric energy in the  $xz$  plane then gives

$$U = e^2 \rho_0^2 \log \frac{q_0}{q} \int \xi^2(y) dy \quad (16)$$

showing in a clear way the physical origin of the logarithmic term. Since the  $x$ -component of the velocity of the fluid at the border is given by  $v_x = \partial\xi/\partial t$ , we finally obtain the boundary condition  $p'_{x=0} = -2ie^2 \rho_0^2 \frac{v_x}{\omega} \log \frac{q_0}{q}$ . The Euler equation (15) then gives rise to the dispersion law

$$\omega(\omega + \omega_c) = 2 \frac{e^2}{m} \rho_0 q \log \frac{q_0}{q}. \quad (17)$$

Equation (17) yields, for low  $q$  and  $\omega$ , the result  $\epsilon(q) = \nu \frac{e^2}{\pi} q \log \frac{q_0}{q}$  where we have used the relation  $\rho_0 = \nu \frac{eB}{2\pi c\hbar}$  defining the filling factor  $\nu$ . When  $\nu = 1$  the hydrodynamic formula coincides with the microscopic result (13). This  $q \log \frac{1}{q}$  dependence has been already derived in ref. [10-11].

It is finally interesting to recover result (14) for short range forces. In this case the relevant restoring force originates from the surface energy  $U = \frac{\alpha}{2} \int (\frac{\partial\xi(y)}{\partial y})^2 dy$  where  $\alpha$  is the surface tension. The resulting dispersion becomes:

$$\omega(\omega + \omega_c) = \frac{\alpha}{m\rho_0} q^3 \quad (18)$$

yielding, after identifying  $\alpha = -a\rho_0/\pi\sqrt{2\pi}\ell^3$ , result (14) in the low  $q$  regime. Note that in the figures we have reported the results of ref.[5] with  $\alpha < 0$  corresponding to negative excitation energies.

The exact equivalence between the microscopic and macroscopic results discussed in this work confirms in a clear way the general statement that electrons



in a strong magnetic field exhibit a behavior typical of Bose superfluids and that consequently their dynamics is properly described by the equations of classical hydrodynamics [12]. Both in the Coulomb and short range cases the dispersion law however differs from the linear law  $\epsilon = qv$  currently considered in the literature and reveals new interesting features exhibited by edge excitations in the quantum Hall effect.

We wish to thank R. Ferrari for many useful discussions and R.L. Schult for providing us with his numerical results. L.P. likes to thank the hospitality of the Department of Physics and the financial support from the Centre ECT\* at the University of Trento.

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig.1. Lowest excitation energies  $\epsilon^{e-e}$  and  $\epsilon^{sr}$  for  $N = 400$ . Crosses are taken from ref.[5], while dots are the predictions of eqs.(11) and (12). The units are  $e^2/l$  and  $10^{-3}a/l^4$  for the Coulomb and short-range interactions respectively.

Fig.2.  $N$ -dependence of the lowest excitation energies  $\epsilon^{e-e}$  and  $\epsilon^{sr}$  for  $M = 10$ . Crosses are taken from ref.[5], while the dashed lines are the asymptotic predictions (11) and (12). For the units see fig.1.

## REFERENCES

1. B.I. Halperin, Phys.Rev. B **25**, 2185 (1982);
2. M. Stone, Ann.Phys. (NY) **207**, 38 (1991);
3. A.H. MacDonald, Phys.Rev.Lett. **64**, 220 (1990);
4. X.G. Wen, Phys.Rev. B **43**, 11025 (1991);
5. M. Stone, H.W. Wyld and R.L. Schult, Phys.Rev. B **45**, 14156 (1992);
6. A.H. MacDonald, S.R.E. Yang and M.D. Johnson, Aust. J. Phys. **46**, 345 (1993);
7. M. Marsili, Phys.Rev. B, in press;
8. S.M. Girvin, A.H. MacDonald and P.M. Platzman, Phys.Rev.Lett. **54**, 581 (1985); Phys.Rev. B **33**, 2481 (1986);
9. D.J. Rowe, *Nuclear Collective Motion*, (Methuen, London, 1970);
10. V.A. Volkov and S.A. Mikhailov, JETP Lett. **42**, 556 (1985);
11. X.G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 5708 (1991);
12. M. Stone, Phys.Rev. B **42**, 212 (1990).